



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/824,708	04/04/2001	Masaki Takakuwa	1-130	1769	
23400	7590 03/28/2003				
POSZ & BETHARDS, PLC			EXAMINER		
SUITE 10	ER BACON DRIVE		JENKINS, JERMAINE L		
RESTON, V	A 20190		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2855		
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N		Applicant(a)				
Office Action Summary				Applicant(s)				
		09/824,708		TAKAKUWA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jermaine Jenk		2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory m will apply and will expire cause the application	vever, may a reply be time inimum of thirty (30) days a SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED	by filed will be considered timely. te mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	November 2002		•				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-	final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
·	on of Claims							
•	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.							
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· _	☑ Claim(s) <u>7-14</u> is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
·	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-6</u> is/are objected to. ☑ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
•	on Papers	r election require	sinent.					
·· _	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep		ted to by the Exam	iner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🗌 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approv	ed b)⊡ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u> .	4)	Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/824,708

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baba et al.

Baba et al teaches the claimed limitations including a housing (11) having an pressure introduction port (19), a sensor element unit (24) disposed in the housing (11) for detecting a pressure based on pressure introduced into the housing (11) through the pressure introduction port (19) (Column 3, line 41 – Column 4, line 5; See Figure 1). However, Baba et al does not specifically teach a filter having a filter surface that is positioned along a gravitational direction. Baba et al teaches a filter (20) disposed horizontally across the environmental pressure passage (19). The functionality of both filters is to block contaminants from the filter surface positioning the filters to utilize gravitational forces (Column10, lines 48-52); therefore, it would have been obvious of one skilled in the art at the time the invention since Baba et al is from the same field of endeavor to modify the position of the filter from a horizontal position to a vertical position for the purpose of positioning the filter to utilize gravitational forces to prevent contaminants from entering into pressure chambers.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/824,708

Art Unit: 2855

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 7-14 are allowed.

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The cited prior art does not disclose or suggest a pressure port divided into a plurality of opening

portions that are covered with the filter, each of which introduces an identical pressure as the

environmental pressure.

5. Claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. 5,948,989 (Ichikawa et al) – a pressure sensing device including a pressure introducing chamber for detecting the pressure of an object introduced into the pressure chamber to be measured & U.S. 6,046,667 (Mathias) – a pressure transducer including a housing containing a sensing element and a porous material which isolates contaminated fluid.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jermaine Jenkins whose telephone number is 703-305-3839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-430pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on 703-305-4705. The fax phone numbers for the

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800